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Class 705 Applications Filed v. Patents Issued

- 705 applications filed
- 705 patents issued

Year (%)
- 1998 (31.3%)
- 1999 (20.7%)
- 2000 (11.5%)
- 2001 (5.0%)
- 2002 (7.3%)
- 2003 (7.5%)
- 2004 (4.5%)
UPR Applications Filed v. Patents Issued

![UPR Applications Filed v. Patents Issued](chart)

- UPR applications filed
- UPR patents issued
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Class 705 % v. UPR %

UPR Patents Issued/Applications Filed (%) v. Class 705 Patents Issued/Applications Filed (%)
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Group 3600 Number of Appeals

![Graph showing the number of appeals per year from 1998 to 2004. The graph includes data for both '3600 appeals per year' and 'except 3600 appeals per year', with fluctuations in the number of appeals each year.]
Group 3600 Affirmance Rates

Affirmance Rates
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Group 3600 Reversal Rates

![Group 3600 Reversal Rates Graph]
Patent Issuance v. Appeal Rate

Patent Issuance % v. Appeal Rate Per Year/10
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Covering IP Portfolio

- IP Portfolio
- Narrow Claims
Practice Considerations

- Consider narrower defensive patent claims versus broader offensive patent claims.
- Consider patent portfolios as a deterrent to patent litigation and as a way to cross-license ("picket fence") and offset royalty payments and/or for license pooling purposes.
- Consider filing multiple applications versus jumbo to focus on only one embodiment and narrow the issues and search areas, to cultivate patent portfolios for business valuation purposes.
Practice Considerations

- Consider conducting a patent search for providing the best possible prior art to Examiners.
- Consider ways to reduce stress for Examiners, such as reducing references cited in IDS, only one embodiment claimed, file divisionals for other embodiments, limited number of claims, focused prosecution, and narrower issues.
- Consider establishing a good reputation with Examiners and SPEs.
Practice Considerations

- Having larger portfolio
- Not all eggs in one basket
- Faster allowance
- Overall lower cost
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